

Werner, Robert

From: Moore, Gary
Sent: Friday, October 10, 2014 12:33 PM
To: Werner, Robert
Subject: FW: CES

[See email string.](#)

Gary Moore
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
U.S. EPA Region 6
214-789-1627 cell
214-665-6609 office
moore.gary@epa.gov

From: Porter, Gary
Sent: Friday, October 10, 2014 12:16 PM
To: Moore, Gary
Subject: Re: CES

Both

Regards,

Gary Porter
Special Agent
EPA - CID
1919 Smith Street, Suite 925
Houston, Texas 77002

713-209-4896 office
713-245-6825 cell

On Oct 10, 2014, at 11:38 AM, "Moore, Gary" <Moore.Gary@epa.gov> wrote:

Did they just do that at PACES or at both PACES and CES?

Thanks

Gary Moore
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
U.S. EPA Region 6
214-789-1627 cell

214-665-6609 office
moore.gary@epa.gov

From: Porter, Gary
Sent: Friday, October 10, 2014 9:44 AM
To: Moore, Gary
Subject: Re: CES

FYI-paces/ces declared bankruptcy they rented to companies named CRT and Progressive Chemical Technology. Those companies involved the same names of people as ces and paces but slightly shuffled, but the main focus was to continue the CITGO Lake Charles caustic to paper mills and some employees called the process the Raz Gas project.

Regards,

Gary Porter
Special Agent
EPA - CID
1919 Smith Street, Suite 925
Houston, Texas 77002

713-209-4896 office
713-245-6825 cell

On Oct 10, 2014, at 8:19 AM, "Moore, Gary" <Moore.Gary@epa.gov> wrote:

Any records in the files that document the Citgo and CES or paces relationship?

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 10, 2014, at 7:40 AM, "Porter, Gary" <Porter.Gary@epa.gov> wrote:

My recollection was CITGO-Lake Charles, LA. PACES was not a subcontractor to CES. PACES was owned by Matt Bowman same as CES. I also recall various types of caustic going to both CES and PACES. Some types were "spent caustic", "sulphidic caustic" and "phenolic caustic", it went by barge to PACES as well as by tanker truck.

I hope this information is helpful.

Regards,

Gary Porter
Special Agent
EPA - CID
1919 Smith Street, Suite 925
Houston, Texas 77002

713-209-4896 office

On Oct 9, 2014, at 11:51 PM, "Moore, Gary"
<Moore.Gary@epa.gov> wrote:

Gary

See

Message blow from TCEQ Attorney. Do you know anything about Citgo or other refinery involvement with CES?

Gary Moore

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Patricia Scott
<patricia.scott@tceq.texas.gov>
Date: October 9, 2014 at 4:17:09 PM CDT
To: "Moore, Gary"
<Moore.Gary@epa.gov>, "Salinas, Amy" <salinas.amy@epa.gov>
Cc: "Werner, Robert"
<Werner.Robert@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: CES

Hi Gary,

Citgo had a contractual relationship with CES where CES would take waste caustic from the refinery and the "recycle it" by selling it to the paper mills. Sometimes they took the caustic to PACES, CES's subcontractor and may have slightly adjusted the solution. This is the type of material that TCEQ removed from the tank trailers at PACES. The waste characterization analysis of tank 604 is very similar to waste in most of the tank trailers at PACES. CES had several similar contractual relationships with other refineries. I haven't been able to locate any manifest that indicates how the waste got from PACES to CES. I don't know which refinery it came from. I hoping that EPA's CID investigation records has this information.

Patricia J. Scott

Staff Attorney, TCEQ, Office of Legal
Services, Litigation Division,
MC-175 P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
Tel: (512) 239-0637
Fax: (512) 239-3434

Patricia.Scott@tceq.texas.gov

IF THIS E-MAIL IS BEING SENT WITHIN
THE COMMISSION IT IS MEANT TO BE
CONFIDENTIAL/ATTORNEY-CLIENT
DOCUMENT/ATTORNEY WORK
PRODUCT.

DO NOT RELEASE OUTSIDE OF THE
COMMISSION EXCEPT WITH THE
EXPRESS PERMISSION OF THE
OFFICE OF LEGAL SERVICES

From: Moore, Gary

[\[mailto:Moore.Gary@epa.gov\]](mailto:Moore.Gary@epa.gov)

Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2014
5:22 PM

To: Patricia Scott; Salinas, Amy

Cc: Werner, Robert

Subject: Fw: CES

Hello All:

**The below email is from a former
employee of CES that now works
for an Environmental
Company. He has been very
helpful. I am sending this to you
because he indicated Citgo Caustic
and I am not sure we have located
anything associated with
Citgo. We may want to scour our
records to see how they may or
may not be involved.**

Thanks

Gary Moore

Federal On-Scene Coordinator

U.S. EPA Region 6

214-789-1627 cell

214-665-6609 office

moore.gary@epa.gov

From: gary@c4env.com

[<gary@c4env.com>](mailto:gary@c4env.com)

Sent: Wednesday, October 8, 2014 3:02
PM

To: Moore, Gary; Mickey Edmondson

Subject: RE: CES

Hello Gary:

These results are not surprising for what we know is in the boxes. It is not consistent with that of the Houston facility that only processed non-haz and oily water. It my understanding that these boxes came from the Port Arthur plant as either Citgo's caustic or waste derived from the processing of the Citgo waste.

Thank You,
Gary Brauckman



281-686-3352

----- Original Message --

Subject: CES

From: "Moore, Gary"

<Moore.Gary@epa.gov>

Date: Wed, October 08,
2014 12:44 pm

To: Mickey Edmondson

<environmental@usa.com

>, "Gary Brauckman
(gary@c4env.com)"

<gary@c4env.com>

Mickey/Gary:

Veolia got samples of each of the vac boxes and we are going to get hit significant surcharges for sulfur and sodium. These are their comments. Is this surprising to you based upon the operation of the facility?

Below is an update on the analysis.

Organic Liquid / VB633 - 3.3% sulfur and 6.5% sodium.

Organic Sludge, Flammable / VB639 - 4.3% sulfur and 3.34% sodium.

Organic Sludge / multiple boxes as follows:

VB25141 - 69.7% sulfur (rerun is 71.2% sulfur) and 0.9% Sodium.

VB281842 - 65.1% sulfur (rerun is 48.6%) and 0.7% sodium.

VB640 - 42.8% sulfur (rerun is 48.6%) and 1.45% sodium.

VB610 - 17.6 ppm Mercury.

VB618 - 3.18% sodium.

Gary Moore
Federal On-Scene
Coordinator
U.S. EPA Region 6
214-789-1627 cell
214-665-6609 office
moore.gary@epa.gov

<image002.jpg>